

Pages 1

Copy 1 of 2

1 page. Complete T2

Attachment: 2

A 222

November 30, 1965

Ref: [redacted]

25X

#997113

13 Dec 65

RFD

[redacted]  
P. O. BOX 6788  
Fort Davis Station  
Washington, D. C.

Declass Review by NGA.

Subject: Stop Work Order

Gentlemen:

This is to acknowledge receipt of Stop Work Order dated October 22, 1965. However, [redacted] on closer review must state that the Stop Work Order on Printer No. 2 will increase the cost and delay the delivery on Printer No. 1. This increase in cost and delay in delivery occurred because the contract did not segregate the fund applicable to each printer. As mentioned in previous correspondence, the stopping of work on Printer No. 2 and subsequently the failure to fund the Increase In Scope for Printer No. 2 has left this contract without funds.

If the Increase In Scope for Printer No. 1 and No. 2 had been funded, no additional funds would have been requested. Due to the Stop Work and the lack of funding, [redacted] requires [redacted] to complete Printer No. 1, and this is detailed by [redacted] letter Ref: [redacted] dated November 30, 1965.

*If we had not stopped work would we have had enough funds?*

*If [redacted] had more money they would not have needed any more money.*

The Stop Work Order and the subsequent lack of funds also resulted in a slow down on Printer No. 1. Therefore, an additional time extension of one (1) month (total of three (3) months) will be required.

*How?*

If you have any questions of a contractual nature, please contact [redacted] Supervisor, Contract Administration, at [redacted]

Yours truly,

**CONFIDENTIAL**

197093  
2 Dec 65  
RFP

Post Office Box 6788  
Fort Davis Station  
Washington, D. C.  
26 November 1965

Our memo is  
dated 29 Oct 65.  
R.F.D.

**REGISTERED**

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to subject Contract for the design and fabrication of a contact printer.

This will serve as Contracting Officer's approval of the revised test plan for the Contact Duplicating and Reseau Printer, Printer Number 1, dated June 1965, submitted by

It is understood that this approval does not indicate any relaxation of the requirements for the printer due to a lack of detail in the test plan nor shall the estimated cost be affected hereby.

Very truly yours,

Contracting Officer

**Distribution:**

Orig - Addressee


- 1 - [ ]
- 1 - ICAD
- 2 - NPIC
- 1 - CAS [ ]

By Duly Authorized Representative

OL/PD/CA&SB/CAS/ [ ]

24 Nov 65

**CONFIDENTIAL**



**NOTICE**

This material contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, USC, Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.